

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/674,138	GAFFE ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
James M Hewitt	3679	

All Participants:**Status of Application:** _____(1) James M Hewitt.

(3) _____.

(2) Leo McCormick.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 March 2005**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 9 and 15

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative agreed to amend the abstract, specification and claims as proposed by the Examiner and as reflected in the accompanying Examiner's Amendment in order to obviate various informalities. The Examiner also informed Applicant's representative that a new declaration would be required, as also noted in the accompanying Examiner's Amendment.